

Rich Hood/R7/USEPA/US  
01/18/2013 08:20 AM

To brooks.karl, Mark Hague  
cc  
bcc  
Subject westlake clips; will send as we find

## **EPA testing continues at radioactive waste site; critics unmoved**

St. Louis Post-Dispatch -

10 hours ago • [BY JEFFREY TOMICH](#)

[http://www.stltoday.com/news/local/crime-and-courts/epa-testing-continues-at-radioactive-waste-site-critics-unmoved/article\\_36c477a5-91e4-519a-81f7-b5bee1ee8662.html](http://www.stltoday.com/news/local/crime-and-courts/epa-testing-continues-at-radioactive-waste-site-critics-unmoved/article_36c477a5-91e4-519a-81f7-b5bee1ee8662.html)

**BRIDGETON** • More than four years after agreeing to a controversial plan to cap Cold War-era radioactive waste and leave it in place at a north St. Louis County landfill, the Environmental Protection Agency says testing continues at the site to validate the decision.

While there's still work to do —additional groundwater sampling and radiological screening — critics of the decision are skeptical the EPA will change its plans for the West Lake Landfill in Bridgeton. Many urged officials present at a public meeting Thursday night to rethink plans for the site. Others scolded the agency for its plan to leave the waste buried.

“It is simply not possible to conceive of a worse location to leave radioactive material,” said Kay Drey, an activist from University City who has pushed the government for decades to clean up radiological waste left behind at sites across the region.

The EPA had scheduled Thursday's meeting to brief residents on its plan to address radioactive contamination spread across 40 acres in two locations at unused portions of the sprawling dump, located north of Interstate 70 and west of St. Charles Rock Road. The landfill is among several sites across the St. Louis area that are contaminated by radioactive waste from Mallinckrodt Chemical Co.'s uranium processing operations.

An estimated 300 North County residents, environmental and labor activists and Teamsters packed a large meeting room at the Machinists Union Hall for the first public meeting on the West Lake site in four years.

The Missouri Coalition for the Environment, the Sierra Club and others say leaving the radiological waste in place in an unlined landfill in the Missouri River floodplain is asking for trouble. They say it poses a danger not only for groundwater in the area, but in the event of an earthquake or flood could foul the Missouri River — the source of drinking water for much of north St. Louis County.

Critics want the waste excavated and hauled to a licensed waste disposal facility, preferably by the Army Corps of Engineers, which is in the midst of doing similar projects at radiologically contaminated spots elsewhere in the area.

Some area residents and environmental groups take issue with a December groundwater study produced by a consultant working for the parties that have financial responsibility for the radiological waste, a group that includes the Department of Energy.

The report indicated there's no leaching of radioactivity from the landfill and suggested, based on a recent study from the U.S. Geological Survey, that high levels of radium-228, a radioactive isotope, were naturally occurring.

Robert Criss, a geochemist and Washington University professor who reviewed the study for the coalition, said it's riddled with flaws. For example, he pointed to a reference to a testing well supposedly hydrologically upgradient from the contamination that's actually downgradient.

Criss questioned the legitimacy of having parties responsible for the cleanup doing studies that affect the EPA's remedy.

"This is like asking a kid to write his own report card and paying him to do it," he said.

The EPA's existing plan, called a record of decision, was approved in 2008. It calls for leaving the contaminated soil in place and covering it with a mixture of clay and rubble and a vegetative cover. A groundwater monitoring system would surround the site.

The agency took a second look at the decision in 2010. The result was a 1,400-page supplemental study completed in December 2011. That report didn't include specific recommendations. Nor did it prompt the EPA to change its plan. The study said capping the waste and leaving it in the ground would cost \$41 million — one-tenth of the cost of removing the waste and hauling it to a licensed disposal site in Utah.

Last year, however, the EPA's National Remedy Review Board — a panel that must approve Superfund cleanups in excess of \$25 million — asked the agency's regional office in Kansas City to collect still more data and study other alternatives, including partial removal.

Chris Whitley, an EPA spokesman, said numerous factors will ultimately determine what solution is chosen. Cost is among them, along with protection of human health, the environment and efficacy, he said.

There's no timetable for the agency to render a final decision. Whitley said additional field tests will likely take months, not years.

But any delay is too long, according to some of those in attendance Thursday.

"You would think that from a PR aspect, (the federal government) would clean up the first

nuclear waste in the world,” said Byron Clemens, who grew up in north county and still owns land in the area. “You paid for it, we suffered from it, now move it out.”

## **EPA: Public is safe despite high levels of radioactive material at St. Louis landfill**

KSDK Ch. 5 St. Louis - 1/17/13

<http://www.ksdk.com/news/article/357470/3/EPA-Public-is-safe-despite-high-levels-of-radioactive-material-at-St-Louis-landfill>

ST. LOUIS COUNTY (KSDK) - About [200](#) North County residents squared off against EPA officials Thursday night over tons of illegally dumped radioactive material that is sitting on a flood plain.

The West Lake landfill in Earth City near Bridgeton is filled with 8,700 tons of decaying uranium, thorium, and radium waste from the Manhattan project used to make the first atomic bombs.

EPA officials said recent testing found high levels of radium at 25 of 75 wells tested. But officials stressed there was no threat to ground water or public health. EPA Project Manager Dan Gravatt said, "Right now those areas are fenced off. People aren't allowed on them and because people aren't drinking the ground water there are no exposure pathways for people."

Geo-chemists like Bob Criss of Washington University reacted with disgust saying, "I don't accept your science, I don't accept the data. Why did you pay those responsible for the clean-up to do the report? It's like having [students](#) grade their own tests."

The EPA wants to put a cover on the landfill and leave the radioactive material at West Lake. Residents want it dug up and moved away from water and people.

The federal government has been working to figure out how to deal with the radioactive material at this location for more than two decades. The EPA said Thursday night, further testing and evaluation was needed.

Rich Hood  
Associate Regional Administrator  
For Media, Intergovernmental Relations  
Region 7  
(o) 913-551-7906  
(c) 913-339-8327

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 7, Office of Public Affairs  
11201 Renner Boulevard  
Lenexa, KS 66219